UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/498,944	. 02/04/2000	Christopher Warnock	EBRY0001	9493
22862 GLENN PATE	7590 09/10/200 NT GROUP	7	EXAMINER	
3475 EDISON WAY, SUITE L			LE, NANCY LOAN T	
MENLO PARK, CA 94025			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		H	•			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/498,944	WARNOCK ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		NANCY T. LE	3621			
<i> Th</i> Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORT WHICHEN - Extensions after SIX (6 - If NO perior - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY /ER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Door time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the ply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute acceived by the Office later than three months after the mailing ent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ Res	ponsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ju	<u>une 2007</u> .				
· <u>-</u>	·	action is non-final.				
•—	· 					
clos	ed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	o3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition o	of Claims					
4a) (5)	m(s) <u>1-3,15,17-21,55-75 and 78-85</u> is/are Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra m(s) is/are allowed. m(s) <u>1-3, 15, 17-21, 55-75 and 78-85</u> is/a m(s) is/are objected to. m(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration. re rejected.				
Application F	Papers		·	•		
10)☐ The App Rep	specification is objected to by the Examine drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accompany and request that any objection to the lacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2.	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the I	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	nowledgment is made of a claim for foreign b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
	·					
Attachment(s)	References Cited (DTO 200)		/ (PTO 413)			
2) Notice of 3) Information	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

Claims 1-3, 15, 17-21, 55-75 and 78-85 have been examined and pending in the present application.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 67 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection as follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. §102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by LeBOURGEOIS (International PCT Publication No. WO 98/42098, published on 09/24/1998).

As per <u>claim 1</u>, LeBOURGEOIS discloses a method for allowing a user to access document content using a logic client device comprising:

• delivering to a user of said client logic device, via a document server, a first user viewable version of the actual contents of a specific document residing at a said document server on a first cost basis, said first user viewable version being protected by a security server to prevent the user from performing standard operations on said version such as copying, printing or saving (i.e., transmitting product broadcast package via the Internet (Fig. 4 step 428) to a user's reader system (Fig. 5 steps 502, 504) - pg. 17 lines 15 - 19, pg. 19 lines 19-32);

19 March 2007 Page 1 of 5

Art Unit: 3621

• calculating with said document server a charge to permit the user to perform a requested standard operation on a user-selected portion of said specific document on a second cost basis (pg. 11 lines 1-9, pg. 26 lines 13-18, pg. 28 line 24 – pg. 29 line 6, fig. 8 and 10 step 806, fig. 9 steps 906 & 908. The Office interprets the claim term 'document server' to be a non-functional descriptive material, and the 'license server' in the prior art, in terms of functions, serves as both the licensing server as well as the document server. Specifically, the license server calculates charge(s) as well as assembles product server download package and transmits the product server download package to the user's reader system.);

• delivering to said user, via said document server, a second version of the actual contents of said specific document residing at said document server comprising said user-selected portion of said specific document, wherein a user requested standard operation is completed, in coordination with said document server, upon payment of the calculated charge (pg. 11 lines 9-15, pg. 28 lines 4 – 23, fig. 9 steps 906 & 908. Again, the Office interprets the claim term 'document server' to be a non-functional descriptive material, and the 'license server' in the prior art, in terms of functions, serves as both the licensing server as well as the document server. Specifically, the license server calculates charge(s) as well as assembles product server download package and transmits the product server download package to the user's reader system.).

As per <u>claim 67</u>, LeBOURGEOIS discloses a method for allowing the purchase of information from a server, comprising:

delivering user-requested pages of a specific document from a document server in a first user-viewable version comprising a form protected by a security server to a user of a client logic device to permit said user viewing the actual contents of said pages of said specific document and selecting by said user but not printing, copying or saving by the user (i.e.,

19 March 2007 . Page 2 of 5

Art Unit: 3621

transmitting product broadcast package via the Internet (Fig. 4 step 428) to a user's reader system (Fig. 5 steps 502, 504) - pg. 17 lines 15 - 19, pg. 19 lines 19-32);

- calculating a charge with said document server to permit a requested standard operation to be performed on a user-selected portion of said specific document (pg. 11 lines 1-9, pg. 26 lines 13-18, pg. 28 line 24 pg. 29 line 6, fig. 8 and 10 step 806, fig. 9 steps 906 & 908. The Office interprets the claim term 'document server' to be a non-functional descriptive material, and the 'license server' in the prior art, in terms of functions, serves as both the licensing server as well as the document server. Specifically, the license server calculates charge(s) as well as assembles product server download package and transmits the product server download package to the user's reader system.); and
- delivering the user-selected portion, via said document server, in coordination with said security server, upon authorization of payment of the calculated charge in a second version comprising a form on which the standard operation is performed (pg. 11 lines 9-15, pg. 28 lines 4 23, fig. 9 steps 906 & 908. Again, the Office interprets the claim term 'document server' to be a non-functional descriptive material, and the 'license server' in the prior art, in terms of functions, serves as both the licensing server as well as the document server. Specifically, the license server calculates charge(s) as well as assembles product server download package and transmits the product server download package to the user's reader system.).

Conclusion

Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers and/or paragraph and/or page numbers in the prior arts of record as applied to the claims above in the body of this action for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as

19 March 2007 Page 3 of 5

Art Unit: 3621

well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant, in preparing the response, to fully consider the references in its **entirety** as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior arts or disclosed by the examiner.

Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NANCY LOAN T. LE whose telephone number is (571) 272-7066. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9am - 6:00pm Eastern Standard Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ANDREW J. FISCHER can be reached on (571) 272-6779.

For <u>official/regular communication</u>, the fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is *(571) 273-8300*.

For informal/draft communication, the fax number is (571) 273-7066 (Rightfax).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

19 March 2007 Page 4 of 5

Application/Control Number: 09/498,944

Art Unit: 3621

Paper No.: 20070319

Nancy Le

Patent Examiner

ranelle

24 August 2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

19 March 2007 Page 5 of 5